

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/929,286	08/15/2001	Tadamasa Yamanaka	Q65836 8103 EXAMINER		
23373	7590 09/08/2004				
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800			LA, ANH V		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20037		2636		
			DATE MAILED: 09/08/2004	DATE MAILED: 09/08/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	ı No.	plicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/929,286		YAMANAKA ET AL.		
		Examiner		Art Unit		
_		Anh V La		2636		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.7 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reput openiod for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no even	t, however, may a reply be time ory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 J	lune 2004.				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This	s action is no	n-final.			
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati	Claim(s) 1-6 and 17 is/are pending in the appl 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) 1-6 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 17 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/original form.	or election red				
	The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been ts have been prity documen u (PCT Rule	received. received in Application ts have been receive 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage		
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary ((PTO-413)		
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>17</u> .		Paper No(s)/Mail Da			

Application/Control Number: 09/929,286

Art Unit: 2636

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Claim 6 is objected to because in claim 6, line 2, the phrase "reporting means" should be changed to -notifying device--.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mansell in view of Eslaminovin.

Regarding claim 17, Mansell discloses a system comprising a center 150, remote from a vehicle (car), that receives a notice from one of vehicle-theft notifying devices (mobile units) and sends an alert signal to the vehicle (column 22, lines 35-45) in response to the notice and reports a theft of the vehicle to authorities (col. 6, lines 55-65, col. 8, lines 35-40) in response to the notice, and a control circuit for inhibiting an engine of the vehicle (col. 22, lines 35-40). Mansell does not clearly teach the control circuit mounted on the vehicle for inhibiting the engine of the vehicle from restarting in response to reception of the theft signal. Eslaminovin teaches the use of a control circuit 18, 24, 26, 28 mounted on a vehicle for inhibiting an engine of the vehicle from restarting in response to reception of a theft signal (col. 4, lines 20-38). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to include the control circuit mounted on the vehicle to the system of Mansell as

Application/Control Number: 09/929,286

Art Unit: 2636

taught by Eslaminovin for the purpose of inhibiting an engine of the vehicle from restarting in response to reception of the theft signal.

3. Claims 1-6 are allowed.

Answer to Remarks

4. Applicant's arguments filed on June 22, 2004 have been fully considered.

Applicant's arguments, see pages 2-5, filed on June 22, 2004, with respect to claims 1-6 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The previous rejections of claims 1-6 have been withdrawn.

Regarding claim 17, in response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., an anti-theft service center...for sending a theft signal through a communication channel corresponding to the subscriber ID of the vehicle-theft notifying device to the stolen vehicle) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims.

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

;

Application/Control Number: 09/929,286

Art Unit: 2636

Page 4

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anh V La whose telephone number is (571) 272-2970. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 9:30am to 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffery Hofsass can be reached on (571) 272-2981. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ANH V. ĽA PRIMARY EXAMINER

> Anh V La Primary Examiner Art Unit 2636

Al August 27, 2004

Δ